

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF OREGON

KEVIN BRATCHER _____,

Plaintiff(s),

Case No.: 3:20-cv-02056-HZ

v.

POLK COUNTY, et al. _____,

Defendant(s). _____

JOINT ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE
RESOLUTION REPORT

Pursuant to LR 16-4(d), the parties to all cases, unless exempt, are required to confer regarding ADR and file this report within one-hundred fifty (150) days of the initiation of a lawsuit. This report is submitted in compliance with LR 16-4(d).

1. Have counsel held settlement discussions with their clients and the opposing party?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If not, provide an explanation:

2. The parties propose: *(check one of the following)*

☐ (a) That this case be referred to a neutral of their choice for ADR not sponsored by the Court pursuant to LR 16-4(e)(1).

☐ (b) That the Court refer this case to mediation using a Court-sponsored mediator. (See LR 16-4(f) for Court-sponsored mediation procedures).
The parties seek a Court mediator because:

☐ (c) ADR may be helpful at a later date following completion of:

☐ (d) The parties believe the Court would be of assistance in preparing for ADR by:

☐ (e) The parties do not believe that any form of ADR will assist in the resolution of this case.

☒ (f) Other:

Plaintiff believes a settlement conference would be productive while defendants do not believe ADR would be productive.

Dated: January 19, 2023

By: s/Kevin T. Lafky

Plaintiff's Counsel

Kevin T. Lafky, OSB # 852633

By: s/Kenneth S. Montoya

Defendants' Counsel

Kenneth S. Montoya, OSB # 064467